

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/755,472	01/13/2004	Joon-Woo Kim	0630-1927P	9911
2292 7590 09/10/2007 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747	CII VA 22040 0747	RIGGLEMAN, JASON PAUL		
FALLS CHUR	FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1746	
		•	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/10/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/755,472	KIM ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jason P. Riggleman	1746			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•	·				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ju</u>	<u>ıne 2007</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,3-5,8 and 16-20 is/are pending in th 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3-5,8 and 16-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 June 2007</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	\square accepted or $ otin D$ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen		» □ · · · · -				
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/755,472

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Status of Claims

Applicant's reply filed on 6/5/2007 is acknowledged. Current pending claims are 1, 3-5, 8, and 16-20. Claims 1, 5, 8, and 16 are amended. Claims 17-20 are new. Claims 2, 6-7, and 9-15 are cancelled.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's reply filed on 6/5/2007 is acknowledged. The applicant's arguments (Sikamora et al. does not teach detecting an <u>amount</u> of laundry) are persuasive with regards to the 102 (b) rejection of claims 1 and 4-6 over Sikamora et al. (US Patent No. 3872694); therefore, this rejection is withdrawn. The remaining 102 (b) and 103 (a) rejections of the claims are withdrawn in view of the amended claims; however, these rejections may return if the applicant amends the claims such that they become commensurate in scope with the original claims.
- 3. Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: "14". Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in

Application/Control Number: 10/755,472 Page 3

Art Unit: 1746

compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Remarks

5. The term "range" in step S12 is not understood. The disclosure does not make clear as to what constitutes the range and how it is established (what manipulative steps are taking place). Also, the relationship of the laundry amount determination to the flow chart of Fig. 2 is not understood. How is this amount changing the dehydration speed?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1, 8, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The manipulative steps involved with "establishing a range of the set dehydration drum rotation speeds" is not understood. It is unclear how a rotation speed of the rotor is <u>controlled for the amount of laundry</u>". It

Art Unit: 1746

is unclear how the torque-speed curves <u>are calculated on the basis</u> of the sensed amount of laundry.

8. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

9. Claims 1, 3-5, 8, and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In regards to claim 1, "calculating performance evaluating motor torque-speed curves for multiple dehydration speeds in the established range" and "controlling a rotation speed of the motor of the washing machine for the amount of laundry in the washing machine by controlling the phase of the motor voltage phase control circuit in accordance with the performance evaluating torque-speed curves" and "at least one different dehydration speed" – none of these are contained in the original disclosure. In regards to claim 8, "the performance evaluating motor torque-speed curves are calculated on the basis of the sensed amount of laundry in the washing machine" is new matter. In regards to claim 16, "the motor voltage phase control circuit has fire angles and the step of controlling the motor voltage phase control circuit in accordance with the performance evaluating torque-speed curves" is new matter. The established range consisting of three speeds, claims 17-20, is new

Art Unit: 1746

matter. Also, it is not understood how efficiency is related to Fig. 4 and how performance satisfaction is evaluated.

Response to Amendments

10. Applicant's amendments filed on 6/5/2007 are acknowledged. In view of the amendments, some of the drawing objections (unless noted above); the claim objections; abstract objection; and the previous 112, second paragraph, rejections of the original claims are withdrawn.

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Dumbser (UK Patent Application Publication No. GB2087933A) and Blazek et al. (US Patent No. 6531801) which teaches details of a pole-changing motor.
- 12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/755,472

Art Unit: 1746

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason P. Riggleman whose telephone number is 571-272-5935. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on 571-272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jason P Riggleman Examiner

Art Unit 1746

JPR

MICHAEL BARR
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/755,472

Art Unit: 1746

Page 7